

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/777,366	02/12/2004	Jean-Claude Girard	DN2003217	5090	
27280 THE GOODY	7590 07/09/200 EAR TIRE & RUBBER	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPA	KNABLE, G	KNABLE, GEOFFREY L		
AKRON, OH	ARKET STREET 14316-0001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1733	,	
				Manager State of the State of t	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/777,366	GIRARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Geoffrey L. Knable	1733	

	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API			•			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which , CFR 41.31; or '			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			,			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) :			
2. \boxtimes The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 May 2007</u> . A brief	in compliance with 37 CFR 41 37 r	nust be filed within tw	o months of the			
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	ssal of the			
AMENDMENTS	Look and an Alamanda Ana & Pilimon and hada	£(1)				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			pecause			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IE below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educina or simplifyina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	adding or ampinying	(110 100000 101			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		,				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	_	4	. ,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).	inovable ii dabiiiii.ea iii a doparate	, amony mod amonam	ont carroomig.			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of (
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-8</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	,	•				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief.	, will <u>not</u> be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessariately	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
see attachment.	piaco illo approadorri	Januari er unemu				
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	, ,,				
13. ☑ Other: <u>Attached: Interview Summary (PTOL-413B)</u> .	,	Sholler d	Real !			
		Geoffrey L. Knable				
•		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733				

Application/Control Number: 10/777,366

Art Unit: 1733

Attachment to Advisory Action (Paper No. 20070705):

It is first noted that the previous amendment was refused entry because it was non-compliant, not because of the claim 9 correction. The 6-11-2007 amendment therefore will be entered for purposes of an appeal.

As to the prior art rejection, it should be stressed that the present claims are directed to a tire, not any particular way to form the tire. The discussion of a core recess, various building steps, various boundaries, etc. are only limiting to the extent that they are read to define certain tire structure. As such, the only structural limitations in the present claims are for a tire with a protruding antenna assembly cured with the tire. The Fritze antenna certainly is located in a protrusion. Applicant argues that it is embedded in sidewall material while the examiner maintains that it is vulcanized, together with its surrounding material, to the inside of the tire as stated in the patent. Either way, however, the present claims are met. The antenna assembly protrudes and is affixed within the tire by vulcanization. Nothing in the present claims defines over the antenna being embedded within rubber material, this "assembly" protruding and facing the tire cavity.

Note further that the originally described inventive contribution was a <u>particular</u> method and apparatus to incorporate an antenna within a tire in a pre-cure process, not simply a tire that has a precure incorporated antenna. In fact, paragraphs [001]-[005] of the specification would seem to acknowledge it to be known to assemble an antenna to a tire in a pre-cure process. In fact, the present claims (which removed the requirement for an *annular* antenna in the 11-20-2006 amendment) not only are considered to read on a tire with a protruding *annular* antenna assembly as in Fritze, but also of course would read on *any* tire tag of *any* shape that is applied to the inside of the tire in a precure process (as long as it incorporates an antenna as most tags do). Such other references were not cited as Fritze was and is considered to represent the closest prior art and clearly suggests a tire as claimed.

Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733